

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
Attorney Docket No. 004770.00025

In re U.S. Patent Application of Walsh, et al.)	
)	
Application No. 10/027,048)	Examiner: Ramsey Refai
)	
Filed: December 20, 2001)	Group Art Unit: 2152
)	
For: Cluster Filtering)	

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

Mail Stop AF
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

This paper is responsive to the Office Action Interview Summary dated November 21, 2006. The telephonic interview took place on November 15, 2006. During the interview, rejections based on 35 U.S.C. §112, ¶ 1 and 35 U.S.C. §102 were discussed. In view of existing claim language, it was agreed that the rejection based on 35 U.S.C. § 112 should be withdrawn. Regarding the rejection based on §102, Applicants' representatives noted that the rejection seemed to be logically inconsistent because one portion of the Final Office Action indicated that the bit-mapped vector was not being read as the recited filter while another portion of the Final Office Action pointed to the bit-mapped vector to show that a feature of the filter was disclosed by U.S. Patent No 5,951,651 to Lakshman. No agreement was reached.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: December 7, 2006

By: /Stephen L. Sheldon/
Stephen L. Sheldon
Registration No. 58,732

BANNER & WITCOFF, LTD.
10 South Wacker Drive
Suite 3000
Chicago, Illinois 60606
Telephone: 312-463-5000
Facsimile: 312-463-5001